

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,575	CAMPBELL, BRIAN J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel D. Chang	2819	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel D. Chang.

(2) Mr. Gary Hamilton.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Sigal et al. (US 5,543,731)


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that in order to expedite the prosecution, claims 1, 7, and 13 will be amended to overcome the cited reference. See the Examiner's Amendment for detail.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DANIEL CHANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)